

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/600,747 | 06/20/2003 | Michelle D. Martin | HO-P02483US1 | 3327 |
| 26271 | 7590 06/20/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY | | | HARRIS, ALANA M | |
| SUITE 5100 | | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| HOUSTON, TX 77010-3095 | | | 1643 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/600,747 | MARTIN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. | 1643 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| ·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , | , | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1643

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of providing a prognosis comprising measuring MTA1 polypeptide level in a patient sample, classified in class 436, subclass 174.
- II. Claims 12-20, drawn to a composition of matter comprising an anti-MTA1 antibody and kit containing said antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1.
- III. Claims 21-24, drawn to a method of treating a cancer patient comprising administering a therapeutic agent, which interferes with translation of a MTA1 polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5. Claims 21 and 22 will be examined with this Group to the extent an antisense RNA is administered.
- IV. Claims 21, 22 and 25, drawn to a method of treating a cancer patient comprising administering a therapeutic agent, which neutralizes biological activity of the MTA1 polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1.
 Claims 21 and 22 will be examined with this Group to the extent an antibody is administered.
- V. Claims 21, 22 and 26, drawn to a method of treating a cancer patient comprising administering a therapeutic agent, which comprises a specific
 MTA1 peptide sequence, classified in class 514, subclass 2. Claims 21

Art Unit: 1643

and 22 will be examined with this Group to the extent a peptide is administered.

- VI. Claims 27-29 and 33, drawn to a method of screening for a candidate therapeutic agent comprising introducing to a cell a test agent, wherein the said agent is candidate therapeutic agent, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1. Claims 27 and 28 will be examined with this Group to the extent the therapeutic agent is antibody.
- VII. Claims 27, 28 and 30-32, drawn to a method of screening for a candidate therapeutic agent comprising introducing to a cell a test agent, wherein the said agent is candidate therapeutic agent, classified in class 435, subclass
 6. Claims 27 and 28 will be examined with this Group to the extent the therapeutic agent is an antisense oligonucleotide.
- Inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Invention I and Inventions III-VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the invention of Group I uses different reagents and yields a different endpoint opposed to the method of Groups III-VII. Moreover, the method of Invention I reads on a method of screening a patient for cancer via detection of a target molecule's polypeptide level with an antibody. The remaining methods do not read on a method of diagnosing cancer and are considered not similar and are not useable together or

Application/Control Number: 10/600,747

Art Unit: 1643

capable of being searched together.

Invention II and Inventions IV and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the antibody product of Group II can be used in either method Group of Invention IV or Invention VI.

Inventions III and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method Groups of Invention III and Invention VII both use antisense molecules, however they both have different endpoints. Invention III is an *in vivo* method, wherein Invention VII is an *in vitro* assay.

Inventions III-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions of Groups III-V all have the same method endpoint but implement using different molecules. In the instant case, Invention III uses an antisense molecule, which is a sequence of nucleotides that is a complement of the message sense.

Art Unit: 1643

Invention IV uses an antibody, a complex of glycoproteins. And Invention V uses a peptide, a short linear order of amino acid residues. Each of these molecules are made by different methods and are patentably distinct, as well as the methods they correspond to.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1643

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alana M. Harris, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0831. The Examiner works a flexible schedule, however she can normally be reached between the hours of 7:30 am to 6:30 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry R. Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALANA M. HARRIS, PH.D.

Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

13 June 2006